

Pre-Courant Algebroids and Associated Lie 2-Algebras *

Zhangju Liu^a, Yunhe Sheng^b and Xiaomeng Xu^a

^aDepartment of Mathematics and LMAM, Peking University,
Beijing 100871, China

email: liuzj@pku.edu.cn; xuxiaomeng@pku.edu.cn

^bDepartment of Mathematics, Jilin University,
Changchun 130012, China

email: shengyh@jlu.edu.cn

Abstract

In this paper, we show that the Jacobiator of a pre-Courant algebroid has some remarkable properties. Thus we can construct both Leibniz 2-algebra and Lie 2-algebra structures associated to a pre-Courant algebroid and prove that these algebraic structures are stable under deformations. The Pontryagin class is also introduced for a pre-Courant algebroid as the obstruction to be deformed into a Courant algebroid. Finally, we introduce the twisted action of a Lie algebra on a manifold to give more examples of pre-Courant algebroids, which include the parabolic geometry.

Contents

1	Introduction	2
2	Preliminaries	3
3	Pre-Courant algebroids	5
4	Leibniz 2-algebras and Lie 2-algebras	11
5	Regular pre-Courant algebroids	16
6	Construction of pre-Courant algebroids	20

⁰*Keyword: pre-Courant algebroids, Leibniz 2-algebras, Lie 2-algebras, twisted actions, the Pontryagin class*

⁰*MSC: 17B99, 53D17.*

^{*}Research supported by NSFC (11101179) and Doctoral Fund. of MEC (20090001110006, 20100061120096).

1 Introduction

Recently, people have paid more attention to higher categorical structures by reasons in both mathematics and physics. One way to provide higher categorical structures is by categorifying existing mathematical concepts. One of the simplest higher structures is a 2-vector space, which is the categorification of a vector space. If we further put a compatible Lie algebra structure on a 2-vector space, then we obtain a Lie 2-algebra [3]. The Jacobi identity is replaced by a natural transformation, called the Jacobiator, which also satisfies some coherence laws of its own. Recently, the relation among higher categorical structures and multisymplectic structures, Courant algebroids, and Dirac structures are studied in [4, 21, 26].

A 2-vector space is equivalent to a 2-term complex of vector spaces. A Lie 2-algebra is equivalent to a 2-term L_∞ -algebra. L_∞ -algebras, sometimes called strongly homotopy (sh) Lie algebras, were introduced in [15] as a model for “Lie algebras that satisfy Jacobi identity up to all higher homotopies”. The notion of Leibniz algebras was introduced by Loday, which is a generalization of Lie algebras. Their crossed modules were also introduced in [18] to study the cohomology of Leibniz algebras. As a model for “Leibniz algebras that satisfy Jacobi identity up to all higher homotopies”, Ammar and Poncin introduced the notion of strongly homotopy (sh) Leibniz algebra, or Lod_∞ -algebra in [1], which is further studied by Uchino in [24]. In [21], the author introduced the notion of Leibniz 2-algebras, and proved that the category of Leibniz 2-algebras is equivalent to the category of 2-term sh Leibniz algebras.

Courant algebroid was introduced in [17] to study the double of Lie bialgebroids. Equivalent definition was given in [19]. Courant algebroids have been widely studied because of their applications in both mathematics and physics [12]. Roytenberg proved that every Courant algebroid give rise to an L_∞ -algebra [20]. The L_∞ -algebra associated to the standard Courant algebroid $TM \oplus T^*M$ is a semidirect product of a Lie algebra with a representation up to homotopy [22]. Recently, two kinds of generalizations of Courant algebroids are studied. One generalization is letting the pseudo metric taking values in a section space of a vector bundle instead of $C^\infty(M)$, see [8, 16] for more details along this direction. The other generalization is relaxing the restriction of Jacobi identity, which includes twisted Courant algebroids by closed 4-forms, H -twisted Courant algebroids as well as pre-Courant algebroids. Hansen and Strobl introduced the notion of twisted Courant algebroids by closed 4-forms in [13], which arise from the study of three dimensional sigma models with Wess-Zumino term. In [21], the authors proved that associated to any twisted Courant algebroids, there is a Leibniz 2-algebras. In general, if one studies generalized geometry, this 4-form will arise naturally as background [14]. In [10, 11], Grützmann introduced H -twisted Lie (Courant) algebroids, in which the Jacobiator is controlled by an $H \in \text{Hom}(\wedge^3 E, \text{Ker}(\rho))$, which satisfies some “closed” condition itself. The notion of pre-Courant algebroids was introduced in [25]. The relation with parabolic geometry was studied in [2].

In this paper, we find that the Jacobiator J of a pre-Courant algebroid is totally skew-

symmetric, and satisfies some “closed” condition naturally. Thus, it is not necessary to assume that the Jacobiator is controlled by something in advance. In fact, we showed in this paper that **pre-CA** = **H -twisted CA**.

Since twisted Courant algebroids by closed 4-forms arise from the study of three dimensional sigma models with Wess-Zumino term naturally, it will be interesting to study the physical meaning of a general pre-Courant algebroid.

It turns out that, one can obtain a Leibniz 2-algebra as well as a Lie 2-algebra associated to a pre-Courant algebroids. It is surprised that the Leibniz 2-algebra associated to the deformed pre-Courant algebroid is isomorphic to the Leibniz 2-algebra associated to the original one. Since the closed 4-form is exact in an exact twisted Courant algebroid, it turns out that the Leibniz 2-algebra associated to the exact twisted Courant algebroid by a closed 4-form is isomorphic to the Leibniz algebra associated to the Courant algebroid.

It is known that a coisotropic action of a quadratic Lie algebra on a manifold could give a Courant algebroid. We introduce the notion of twisted action. A coisotropic twisted action of a quadratic Lie algebra on a manifold give rise to a pre-Courant algebroid. We also study transitive pre-Courant algebroids in detail.

The paper is organized as follows. In Section 2, we recall some basic notions of Leibniz cohomologies, Leibniz 2-algebras, morphisms between Leibniz 2-algebras and Lie 2-algebras. In Section 3, for a pre-Courant algebroid $(E, (\cdot, \cdot)_+, \rho, \circ)$, we introduce the covariant derivatives ∂ and $\mathcal{D}$ using the coboundary operator for Leibniz cohomology and Lie algebroid cohomology respectively. We prove that the Jacobiator $J \in C_D^3(E, \text{Ker}(\rho))$, and satisfies $\partial J = 0$ (Theorem 3.4). In Section 4, by the fact that $\partial J = 0$, we obtain a Leibniz 2-algebra associated to a pre-Courant algebroid (Theorem 4.1). Moreover, by using the skew-symmetric bracket, we can obtain a Lie 2-algebra (Theorem 4.8). Finally, we prove that the Leibniz 2-algebras associated to any pre-Courant algebroid structures on a Courant vector bundle $(E, (\cdot, \cdot)_+, \rho)$ are isomorphic. In Section 5, we show that a transitive pre-Courant algebroid satisfying $\text{Im} J \subset \rho^*(T^*M)$ is exactly a twisted Courant algebroid by a closed 4-form. Since in this special case, the closed 4-form is known as the Pontryagin class of the induced ample Lie algebroid [6, 9, 21], we call the equivalence class of $J^\flat$ the Pontryagin class of the pre-Courant algebroid. In Section 6, we introduce the notion of twisted action, by which we construct pre-Courant algebroids. Examples arising from Cartan geometry [7] are given.

2 Preliminaries

In this section, we recall Leibniz algebras, Leibniz 2-algebras and Lie 2-algebras.

A Leibniz algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ is an R -module, where R is a commutative ring, endowed with a linear map $[\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}} : \mathfrak{g} \otimes \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ satisfying

$$[g_1, [g_2, g_3]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}} = [[g_1, g_2]_{\mathfrak{g}}, g_3]_{\mathfrak{g}} + [g_2, [g_1, g_3]_{\mathfrak{g}}]_{\mathfrak{g}}, \quad \forall g_1, g_2, g_3 \in \mathfrak{g}.$$

This is in fact a left Leibniz algebra. In this paper, we only consider left Leibniz algebras. Recall that a representation of the Leibniz algebra $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}})$ is an R -module V

equipped with, respectively, left and right actions of $\mathfrak{g}$ on V ,

$$[\cdot, \cdot] : \mathfrak{g} \otimes V \longrightarrow V, \quad [\cdot, \cdot] : V \otimes \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow V,$$

such that for any $g_1, g_2 \in \mathfrak{g}$, the following equalities hold:

$$l_{[g_1, g_2]} = [l_{g_1}, l_{g_2}], \quad r_{[g_1, g_2]} = [l_{g_1}, r_{g_2}], \quad r_{g_2} \circ l_{g_1} = -r_{g_2} \circ r_{g_1}, \quad (1)$$

where $l_{g_1}u = [g_1, u]$ and $r_{g_1}u = [u, g_1]$ for any $u \in V$. The Leibniz cohomology of $\mathfrak{g}$ with coefficients in V is the homology of the cochain complex $C^k(\mathfrak{g}, V) = \text{Hom}_R(\otimes^k \mathfrak{g}, V)$, ($k \geq 0$) with the coboundary operator $\partial : C^k(\mathfrak{g}, V) \longrightarrow C^{k+1}(\mathfrak{g}, V)$ defined by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial c^k(g_1, \dots, g_{k+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} l_{g_i}(c^k(g_1, \dots, \widehat{g}_i, \dots, g_{k+1})) + (-1)^{k+1} r_{g_{k+1}}(c^k(g_1, \dots, g_k)) \\ &+ \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k+1} (-1)^i c^k(g_1, \dots, \widehat{g}_i, \dots, g_{j-1}, [g_i, g_j]_{\mathfrak{g}}, g_{j+1}, \dots, g_{k+1}). \end{aligned} \quad (2)$$

The fact that $\partial \circ \partial = 0$ is proved in [18].

The notion of strongly homotopy (sh) Leibniz algebras, or Lod_{∞} -algebras was introduced in [1]. See also [24] for more details. Here we only consider the 2-term case. Leibniz 2-algebras were introduced in [21] as the categorification of Leibniz algebras. The category of 2-term sh Leibniz algebras is equivalent to the category of Leibniz 2-algebras. Due to this reason, a 2-term sh Leibniz algebra will be called a Leibniz 2-algebra in the sequel.

Definition 2.1. A Leibniz 2-algebra $\mathbb{V}$ consists of the following data:

- a complex of vector spaces $\mathbb{V} : V_1 \xrightarrow{d} V_0$,
- a bilinear map $l_2 : V_i \times V_j \longrightarrow V_{i+j}$, where $i + j \leq 1$,
- a trilinear map $l_3 : V_0 \times V_0 \times V_0 \longrightarrow V_1$,

such that for any $w, x, y, z \in V_0$ and $m, n \in V_1$, the following equalities are satisfied:

- (a1) $dl_2(x, m) = l_2(x, dm)$,
- (a2) $dl_2(m, x) = l_2(dm, x)$,
- (a3) $l_2(dm, n) = l_2(m, dn)$,
- (b1) $dl_3(x, y, z) = l_2(x, l_2(y, z)) - l_2(l_2(x, y), z) - l_2(y, l_2(x, z))$,
- (b2) $l_3(x, y, dm) = l_2(x, l_2(y, m)) - l_2(l_2(x, y), m) - l_2(y, l_2(x, m))$,
- (b3) $l_3(x, dm, y) = l_2(x, l_2(m, y)) - l_2(l_2(x, m), y) - l_2(m, l_2(x, y))$,

$$(b4) \quad l_3(dm, x, y) = l_2(m, l_2(x, y)) - l_2(l_2(m, x), y) - l_2(x, l_2(m, y)),$$

(c) *the Jacobiator identity:*

$$\begin{aligned} & l_2(w, l_3(x, y, z)) - l_2(x, l_3(w, y, z)) + l_2(y, l_3(w, x, z)) + l_2(l_3(w, x, y), z) \\ & - l_3(l_2(w, x), y, z) - l_3(x, l_2(w, y), z) - l_3(x, y, l_2(w, z)) \\ & + l_3(w, l_2(x, y), z) + l_3(w, y, l_2(x, z)) - l_3(w, x, l_2(y, z)) = 0. \end{aligned}$$

We usually denote a 2-term sh Leibniz algebra by $(V_1 \xrightarrow{d} V_0, l_2, l_3)$, or simply by $\mathbb{V}$. In particular, if l_2 and l_3 are skew-symmetric, we obtain a 2-term L_∞ -algebra, which is also called a **Lie 2-algebra**.

Definition 2.2. *Let $\mathbb{V}$ and $\mathbb{V}'$ be Leibniz 2-algebras, a morphism $\mathfrak{f}$ from $\mathbb{V}$ to $\mathbb{V}'$ consists of*

- *linear maps $f_0 : V_0 \longrightarrow V'_0$ and $f_1 : V_1 \longrightarrow V'_1$ commuting with the differential, i.e.*

$$f_0 \circ d = d' \circ f_1;$$

- *a bilinear map $f_2 : V_0 \times V_0 \longrightarrow V'_1$,*

such that for all $x, y, z \in L_0$, $m \in L_1$, we have

$$\begin{cases} l'_2(f_0(x), f_0(y)) - f_0 l_2(x, y) &= d' f_2(x, y), \\ l'_2(f_0(x), f_1(m)) - f_1 l_2(x, m) &= f_2(x, dm), \\ l'_2(f_1(m), f_0(x)) - f_1 l_2(m, x) &= f_2(dm, x), \end{cases} \quad (3)$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} & f_1(l_3(x, y, z)) + l'_2(f_0(x), f_2(y, z)) - l'_2(f_0(y), f_2(x, z)) - l'_2(f_2(x, y), f_0(z)) \\ & - f_2(l_2(x, y), z) + f_2(x, l_2(y, z)) - f_2(y, l_2(x, z)) - l'_3(f_0(x), f_0(y), f_0(z)) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (4)$$

If (f_0, f_1) is an isomorphism of underlying complexes, we say that (f_0, f_1, f_2) is an isomorphism.

3 Pre-Courant algebroids

A *Courant vector bundle* is a pseudo-Euclidean vector bundle $(E, (\cdot, \cdot)_+)$ over M with an anchor, $\rho : E \longrightarrow TM$, such that $\rho\rho^* = 0$, where $\rho^* : T^*M \longrightarrow E^* \cong E$. With the identification above, it is easy to see that $(\text{Ker}\rho)^\perp$ coincides with $\rho^*(T^*M)$. That is, $\text{Ker}(\rho)$ is a co-isotropic distribution in E .

Definition 3.1. [25] *A pre-Courant algebroid structure on a Courant vector bundle $(E, (\cdot, \cdot)_+, \rho)$ is an operation “ $\circ$ ” on $\Gamma(E)$ satisfying:*

- (i) $\rho(e_1 \circ e_2) = [\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2)]$;
- (ii) $(e_1 \circ e_1, e_2)_+ = \frac{1}{2}\rho(e_2)(e_1, e_1)_+$;
- (iii) $\rho(e_1)(e_2, e_3)_+ = (e_1 \circ e_2, e_3)_+ + (e_2, e_1 \circ e_3)_+, \quad \forall e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E)$.

Denote by

$$J(e_1, e_2, e_3) = e_1 \circ (e_2 \circ e_3) - (e_1 \circ e_2) \circ e_3 - e_2 \circ (e_1 \circ e_3),$$

the **Jacobiator** of the pre-Courant algebroid structure. So far some special cases for the Jacobiator were studied more or less. $J = 0$ is just a Courant algebroid [17, 19]. If there is a closed 4-form $H \in \Omega^4(M)$ such that

$$J(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \rho^*(i_{\rho(e_1) \wedge \rho(e_2) \wedge \rho(e_3)} H),$$

one gets a twisted Courant algebroid by a closed 4-form, which arise naturally from the study of three dimensional sigma models with Wess-Zumino term ([13], [21]).

For a Courant vector bundle, one can define a differential operator:

$$\mathcal{D} : C^\infty(M) \rightarrow \Gamma(E) \text{ by } (\mathcal{D}f, e)_+ := \rho(e)f. \quad (5)$$

Then Axiom (ii) is equivalent to

$$e_1 \circ e_2 + e_2 \circ e_1 = \mathcal{D}(e_1, e_2)_+. \quad (6)$$

and one can easily get the following properties for a pre-Courant algebroid, which are the same as the ones for a Courant algebroid.

Lemma 3.2. *Let $(E, (\cdot, \cdot)_+, \rho, \circ)$ be a pre-Courant algebroid. Then, for all $e_1, e_2, e \in \Gamma(E)$ and $f \in C^\infty(M)$, we have:*

$$e_1 \circ (fe_2) = f(e_1 \circ e_2) + (\rho(e_1)f)e_2, \quad (7)$$

$$(fe_1) \circ (e_2) = f(e_1 \circ e_2) - (\rho(e_2)f)e_1 + (e_1, e_2)_+ \mathcal{D}f, \quad (8)$$

$$(\mathcal{D}f) \circ e = 0, \quad (9)$$

$$e \circ (\mathcal{D}f) = \mathcal{D}(\rho(e)f), \quad (10)$$

$$\rho \circ \mathcal{D} = 0. \quad (11)$$

Similar to [23], for a pre-Courant algebroid E , we denote by $C_D^k(E) = \Gamma(\wedge^k \text{Ker}(\rho))$, which is viewed as elements in $\wedge^k E^*$ via the identification E^* with E . It can be also characterized by

$$C_D^k(E) = \{\psi \in \wedge^k \Gamma(E^*) \mid i_{\mathcal{D}f} \psi = 0, \forall f \in C^\infty(M)\}. \quad (12)$$

Define the covariant derivative $\mathcal{D} : C_{\mathcal{D}}^k(E) \longrightarrow C_{\mathcal{D}}^{k+1}(E)$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \mathcal{D}\psi(e_1, \dots, e_{k+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^{k+1} (-1)^{i+1} \rho(e_i) \psi(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{k+1}) \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \psi(e_i \circ e_j, e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, \hat{e}_j, \dots, e_{k+1}), \end{aligned} \quad (13)$$

which extends the differential operator given in (5). By (9) and (10), it is easy to see that $\mathcal{D}$ is well-defined. But, in general, $\mathcal{D}^2 \neq 0$ since the Jacobiator is nontrivial.

For a $\psi \in C_{\mathcal{D}}^k(E)$, the induced map $\psi^\sharp : \wedge^{k-1} \Gamma(E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\text{Ker}(\rho))$ is given by

$$\left(\psi^\sharp(e_1 \cdots e_{k-1}), e_k \right)_+ := \psi(e_1 \cdots e_k).$$

Denote by

$$C_{\mathcal{D}}^k(E, \text{Ker}(\rho)) = \{ \psi^\sharp | \psi \in C_{\mathcal{D}}^{k+1}(E) \}. \quad (14)$$

More precisely, a bundle map $\phi : \Gamma(\wedge^k E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(E)$ is in $C_{\mathcal{D}}^k(E, \text{Ker}(\rho))$ if and only if (1). $\text{Im} \phi \subset \text{Ker}(\rho)$; (2). $i_{\mathcal{D}f} \phi = 0$, $\forall f \in C^\infty(M)$; (3). The map $(e_1, \dots, e_k, e_{k+1}) \longmapsto (\phi(e_1, \dots, e_k), e_{k+1})_+$ is totally skew-symmetric.

Define the covariant derivative $\partial : C_{\mathcal{D}}^k(E, \text{Ker}(\rho)) \longrightarrow C_{\mathcal{D}}^{k+1}(E, \text{Ker}(\rho))$ by

$$\begin{aligned} \partial\phi(e_1, \dots, e_{k+1}) &= \sum_{i=1}^k (-1)^{i+1} e_i \circ \phi(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_{k+1}) \\ &\quad + (-1)^{k+1} \phi(e_1, \dots, e_k) \circ e_{k+1} \\ &\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \phi(e_i \circ e_j, e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, \hat{e}_j, \dots, e_{k+1}) \end{aligned}$$

For a $\phi \in C_{\mathcal{D}}^k(E, \text{Ker}(\rho))$, write the $\phi^\flat \in C_{\mathcal{D}}^{k+1}(E)$ such that $(\phi^\flat)^\sharp = \phi$. That is

$$\phi^\flat(e_1, \dots, e_{k+1}) = (\phi(e_1 \cdots e_k), e_{k+1})_+.$$

Lemma 3.3. *With the notations above, one has $\mathcal{D}\psi = (\partial\psi^\sharp)^\flat$, $\forall \psi \in C_{\mathcal{D}}^k(E)$.*

Proof. By Axiom (iii) and (6), we have

$$\begin{aligned} &(\partial\psi^\sharp)^\flat(e_1, \dots, e_{k+1}) \\ &= \left(\partial\psi^\sharp(e_1, \dots, e_k), e_{k+1} \right)_+ \\ &= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i+1} \left(e_i \circ \psi^\sharp(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_k), e_{k+1} \right)_+ \\ &\quad + (-1)^k \left(\psi^\sharp(e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}) \circ e_k, e_{k+1} \right)_+ \\ &\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} \left(\psi^\sharp(e_i \circ e_j, e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, \hat{e}_j, \dots, e_k), e_{k+1} \right)_+ \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i+1} \left(\rho(e_i) \left(\psi^\sharp(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_k), e_{k+1} \right)_+ - \left(\psi^\sharp(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_k), e_i \circ e_{k+1} \right)_+ \right) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{k+1} \left(e_k \circ \psi^\sharp(e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}), e_{k+1} \right)_+ + (-1)^k \left(\mathcal{D} \left(\psi^\sharp(e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}), e_k \right)_+, e_{k+1} \right)_+ \\
&\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} \psi(e_i \circ e_j, e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, \hat{e}_j, \dots, e_k, e_{k+1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i+1} \rho(e_i) \psi(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_k, e_{k+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{k+i+1} \psi(e_i \circ e_{k+1}, e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_k) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{k+1} \rho(e_k) \left(\psi^\sharp(e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}), e_{k+1} \right)_+ + (-1)^k \left(\psi^\sharp(e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}), e_k \circ e_{k+1} \right)_+ \\
&\quad + (-1)^k \rho(e_{k+1}) \left(\psi^\sharp(e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}), e_k \right)_+ \\
&\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} \psi(e_i \circ e_j, e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, \hat{e}_j, \dots, e_k, e_{k+1}) \\
&= \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{i+1} \rho(e_i) \psi(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_k, e_{k+1}) + \sum_{i=1}^{k-1} (-1)^{k+i+1} \psi(e_i \circ e_{k+1}, e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_k) \\
&\quad + (-1)^{k+1} \rho(e_k) \psi(e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_{k+1}) + (-1)^{k+k-1} \psi(e_k \circ e_{k+1}, e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}) \\
&\quad + (-1)^k \rho(e_{k+1}) \psi(e_1, \dots, e_{k-1}, e_k) \\
&\quad + \sum_{1 \leq i < j \leq k} (-1)^{i+j} \psi(e_i \circ e_j, e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, \hat{e}_j, \dots, e_k, e_{k+1}) \\
&= (\mathcal{D}\psi)(e_1, \dots, e_{k+1}),
\end{aligned}$$

which implies that $(\partial\psi^\sharp)^\flat = D\psi$. ■

The follows is the main result of this section.

Theorem 3.4. *Let $(E, (\cdot, \cdot)_+, \rho, \circ)$ be a pre-Courant algebroid. Then the Jacobiator $J \in C_D^3(E, \text{Ker}(\rho))$ and $\partial J = 0$. Or equivalently, $J^\flat \in C_D^4(E)$ and $\mathcal{D}(J^\flat) = 0$.*

Lemma 3.5. *The Jacobiator J is skew-symmetric.*

Proof. By (9), we have

$$J(e_1, e_2, e_3) + J(e_2, e_1, e_3) = -2\mathcal{D}(e_1, e_2)_+ \circ e_3 = 0.$$

By (10) and Axiom (iii) in Definition 3.1

$$\begin{aligned}
J(e_1, e_2, e_3) + J(e_1, e_3, e_2) &= 2e_1 \circ \mathcal{D}(e_2, e_3)_+ - 2\mathcal{D}(e_2, e_1 \circ e_3)_+ - 2\mathcal{D}(e_1 \circ e_2, e_3)_+ \\
&= 2e_1 \circ \mathcal{D}(e_2, e_3)_+ - 2\mathcal{D}(\rho(e_1)(e_2, e_3)_+) \\
&= 0.
\end{aligned}$$

Therefore, the Jacobiator J is skew-symmetric. ■

Lemma 3.6. *The Jacobiator J is C^∞ -linear.*

Proof. Since J is skew-symmetric, we only need to show

$$J(e_1, e_2, fe_3) = fJ(e_1, e_2, e_3), \quad \forall f \in C^\infty(M).$$

By Axiom (i) in Definition 3.1, we have

$$\begin{aligned} J(e_1, e_2, fe_3) &= e_1 \circ (e_2 \circ fe_3) - (e_1 \circ e_2) \circ fe_3 - e_2 \circ (e_1 \circ fe_3) \\ &= e_1 \circ (fe_2 \circ e_3 + \rho(e_2)(f)e_3) - f(e_1 \circ e_2) \circ e_3 - \rho(e_1 \circ e_2)(f)e_3 \\ &\quad - e_2 \circ (fe_1 \circ e_3 + \rho(e_1)(f)e_3) \\ &= fe_1 \circ (e_2 \circ e_3) + \rho(e_1)(f)e_2 \circ e_3 + \rho(e_2)(f)e_1 \circ e_3 + \rho(e_1)\rho(e_2)(f)e_3 \\ &\quad - f(e_1 \circ e_2) \circ e_3 - [\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2)](f)e_3 \\ &\quad - fe_2 \circ (e_1 \circ fe_3) - \rho(e_2)(f)e_1 \circ e_3 - \rho(e_1)(f)e_2 \circ e_3 - \rho(e_2)\rho(e_1)(f)e_3 \\ &= fJ(e_1, e_2, e_3). \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, J is C^∞ -linear. ■

Lemma 3.7. *For any $f \in C^\infty(M)$, $J(\mathcal{D}f, \cdot, \cdot) = 0$.*

Proof. It can be obtained directly by (9). ■

Proof of Theorem 3.4 By Lemma 3.5, 3.6, 3.7, to see $J \in C_D^3(E, \text{Ker}(\rho))$, we only need to show that the map $(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) \mapsto (J(e_1, e_2, e_3), e_4)_+$ is skew-symmetric. Since J is skew-symmetric, we only need to show

$$(J(e_1, e_2, e_3), e_4)_+ + (J(e_4, e_2, e_3), e_1)_+ = 0. \quad (15)$$

The left hand side, which we denote by L , is equal to

$$\begin{aligned} L &= (e_1 \circ (e_2 \circ e_3), e_4)_+ - ((e_1 \circ e_2) \circ e_3, e_4)_+ - (e_2 \circ (e_1 \circ e_3), e_4)_+ \\ &\quad + (e_4 \circ (e_2 \circ e_3), e_1)_+ - ((e_4 \circ e_2) \circ e_3, e_1)_+ - (e_2 \circ (e_4 \circ e_3), e_1)_+. \end{aligned}$$

Note that

$$\begin{aligned} &-((e_1 \circ e_2) \circ e_3, e_4)_+ - ((e_4 \circ e_2) \circ e_3, e_1)_+ \\ &= (e_3 \circ (e_1 \circ e_2), e_4)_+ - \rho(e_4)(e_3, e_1 \circ e_2)_+ + (e_3 \circ (e_4 \circ e_2), e_1)_+ - \rho(e_1)(e_3, e_4 \circ e_2)_+. \end{aligned}$$

Therefore, we have

$$\begin{aligned} L &= \rho(e_1)(e_2 \circ e_3, e_4)_+ - \rho(e_4)(e_1 \circ e_2, e_3)_+ + \rho(e_3)(e_1 \circ e_2, e_4)_+ \\ &\quad - \rho(e_2)(e_1 \circ e_3, e_4)_+ + \rho(e_4)(e_2 \circ e_3, e_1)_+ - \rho(e_1)(e_4 \circ e_2, e_3)_+ \\ &\quad - \rho(e_2)(e_4 \circ e_3, e_1)_+ + \rho(e_3)(e_4 \circ e_2, e_1)_+ - (e_2 \circ e_3, e_1 \circ e_4)_+ \\ &\quad + (e_1 \circ e_3, e_2 \circ e_4)_+ - (e_2 \circ e_3, e_4 \circ e_1)_+ - (e_1 \circ e_2, e_3 \circ e_4)_+ \\ &\quad + (e_4 \circ e_3, e_2 \circ e_1)_+ - (e_4 \circ e_2, e_3 \circ e_1)_+. \end{aligned}$$

By Axiom (iii), (6) and the definition of $\mathcal{D}$, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
& \rho(e_1)(e_2 \circ e_3, e_4)_+ - \rho(e_1)(e_4 \circ e_2, e_3)_+ \\
= & \rho(e_1)\rho(e_2)(e_3, e_4)_+ - \rho(e_1)(e_3, e_2 \circ e_4)_+ - \rho(e_1)(e_3, e_4 \circ e_2)_+ \\
= & \rho(e_1)\rho(e_2)(e_3, e_4)_+ - \rho(e_1)\rho(e_3)(e_2, e_4)_+.
\end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we get

$$\begin{aligned}
& -\rho(e_2)(e_1 \circ e_3, e_4)_+ - \rho(e_2)(e_4 \circ e_3, e_1)_+ \\
= & -\rho(e_2)\rho(e_1)(e_3, e_4)_+ - \rho(e_2)\rho(e_4)(e_3, e_1)_+ + \rho(e_2)\rho(e_3)(e_1, e_4)_+, \\
& \rho(e_3)(e_1 \circ e_2, e_4)_+ + \rho(e_3)(e_4 \circ e_2, e_1)_+ \\
= & \rho(e_3)\rho(e_1)(e_2, e_4)_+ + \rho(e_3)\rho(e_4)(e_1, e_2)_+ - \rho(e_3)\rho(e_2)(e_1, e_4)_+, \\
& -\rho(e_4)(e_1 \circ e_2, e_3)_+ + \rho(e_4)(e_2 \circ e_3, e_1)_+ \\
= & \rho(e_4)\rho(e_2)(e_1, e_3)_+ - \rho(e_4)\rho(e_3)(e_1, e_2)_+,
\end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned}
-(e_2 \circ e_3, e_1 \circ e_4)_+ - (e_2 \circ e_3, e_4 \circ e_1)_+ &= -\rho(e_2 \circ e_3)(e_1, e_4)_+, \\
-(e_1 \circ e_2, e_3 \circ e_4)_+ + (e_4 \circ e_3, e_2 \circ e_1)_+ &= \rho(e_4 \circ e_3)(e_1, e_2)_+ - \rho(e_1 \circ e_2)(e_3, e_4)_+, \\
(e_1 \circ e_3, e_2 \circ e_4)_+ - (e_4 \circ e_2, e_3 \circ e_1)_+ &= \rho(e_2 \circ e_4)(e_1, e_3)_+ - \rho(e_3 \circ e_1)(e_2, e_4)_+.
\end{aligned}$$

By Axiom (i), it is straightforward to see $L = 0$. Thus, $J \in C_{\mathcal{D}}^3(E, \text{Ker}(\rho))$, and $J^\flat$ is well-defined.

Now we prove $\partial J = 0$. By straightforward computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned}
\partial J &= e_1 \circ J(e_2, e_3, e_4) - e_2 \circ J(e_1, e_3, e_4) + e_3 \circ J(e_1, e_2, e_4) + J(e_1, e_2, e_3) \circ e_4 \\
&\quad - J(e_1 \circ e_2, e_3, e_4) + J(e_1 \circ e_3, e_2, e_4) - J(e_1 \circ e_4, e_2, e_3) - J(e_2 \circ e_3, e_1, e_4) \\
&\quad + J(e_2 \circ e_4, e_1, e_3) - J(e_3 \circ e_4, e_1, e_2) \\
= & e_1 \circ (e_2 \circ (e_3 \circ e_4)) - e_1 \circ ((e_2 \circ e_3) \circ e_4) - e_1 \circ (e_3 \circ (e_2 \circ e_4)) \\
&\quad - e_2 \circ (e_1 \circ (e_3 \circ e_4)) + e_2 \circ ((e_1 \circ e_3) \circ e_4) + e_2 \circ (e_3 \circ (e_1 \circ e_4)) \\
&\quad + e_3 \circ (e_1 \circ (e_2 \circ e_4)) - e_3 \circ ((e_1 \circ e_2) \circ e_4) - e_3 \circ (e_2 \circ (e_1 \circ e_4)) \\
&\quad + J(e_1, e_2, e_3) \circ e_4 \\
&\quad - (e_1 \circ e_2) \circ (e_3 \circ e_4) + ((e_1 \circ e_2) \circ e_3) \circ e_4 + e_3 \circ ((e_1 \circ e_2) \circ e_4) \\
&\quad + (e_1 \circ e_3) \circ (e_2 \circ e_4) - ((e_1 \circ e_3) \circ e_2) \circ e_4 - e_2 \circ ((e_1 \circ e_3) \circ e_4) \\
&\quad - (e_1 \circ e_4) \circ (e_2 \circ e_3) + ((e_1 \circ e_4) \circ e_2) \circ e_3 + e_2 \circ ((e_1 \circ e_4) \circ e_3) \\
&\quad - (e_2 \circ e_3) \circ (e_1 \circ e_4) + ((e_2 \circ e_3) \circ e_1) \circ e_4 + e_1 \circ ((e_2 \circ e_3) \circ e_4) \\
&\quad + (e_2 \circ e_4) \circ (e_1 \circ e_3) - ((e_2 \circ e_4) \circ e_1) \circ e_3 - e_1 \circ ((e_2 \circ e_4) \circ e_3) \\
&\quad - (e_3 \circ e_4) \circ (e_1 \circ e_2) + ((e_3 \circ e_4) \circ e_1) \circ e_2 + e_1 \circ ((e_3 \circ e_4) \circ e_2).
\end{aligned}$$

There are 28 terms in all. Obviously, we have

$$\begin{aligned} & -e_1 \circ ((e_2 \circ e_3) \circ e_4) + e_2 \circ ((e_1 \circ e_3) \circ e_4) - e_3 \circ ((e_1 \circ e_2) \circ e_4) \\ & + e_3 \circ ((e_1 \circ e_2) \circ e_4) - e_2 \circ ((e_1 \circ e_3) \circ e_4) + e_1 \circ ((e_2 \circ e_3) \circ e_4) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (16)$$

On the other hand, by (6) and (9), we have

$$\begin{aligned} & J(e_1, e_2, e_3) \circ e_4 + ((e_1 \circ e_2) \circ e_3) \circ e_4 - ((e_1 \circ e_3) \circ e_2) \circ e_4 + ((e_2 \circ e_3) \circ e_1) \circ e_4 \\ & = J(e_1, e_2, e_3) \circ e_4 - J(e_1, e_2, e_3) \circ e_4 \\ & = 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now we have canceled 10 terms. By (6), (10) and Axiom (c), we have

$$\begin{aligned} e_1 \circ (e_2 \circ (e_3, e_4)) + e_1 \circ ((e_3 \circ e_4) \circ e_2) &= e_1 \circ \mathcal{D}(e_2, e_3 \circ e_4)_+ \\ &= \mathcal{D}\rho(e_1)(e_2, e_3 \circ e_4)_+ \\ &= \mathcal{D}((e_1 \circ e_2, e_3 \circ e_4)_+ + (e_2, e_1 \circ (e_3 \circ e_4))_+). \end{aligned}$$

Similarly, we have

$$\begin{aligned} -e_1 \circ (e_3 \circ (e_2 \circ e_4)) - e_1 \circ ((e_2 \circ e_4) \circ e_3) &= -e_1 \circ \mathcal{D}g(e_3, e_2 \circ e_4) \\ &= -\mathcal{D}((e_1 \circ e_3, e_2 \circ e_4)_+ + (e_3, e_1 \circ (e_2 \circ e_4))_+), \\ e_2 \circ (e_3 \circ (e_1 \circ e_4)) + e_2 \circ ((e_1 \circ e_4) \circ e_3) &= e_2 \circ \mathcal{D}(e_3, e_1 \circ e_4)_+ \\ &= \mathcal{D}((e_2 \circ e_3, e_1 \circ e_4)_+ + (e_3, e_2 \circ (e_1 \circ e_4))_+), \\ -e_2 \circ (e_1 \circ (e_3 \circ e_4)) + ((e_3 \circ e_4) \circ e_1) \circ e_2 &= -\mathcal{D}(e_2, e_1 \circ (e_3 \circ e_4))_+, \\ -((e_2 \circ e_4) \circ e_1) \circ e_3 + e_3 \circ (e_1 \circ (e_2 \circ e_4)) &= \mathcal{D}(e_3, e_1 \circ (e_2 \circ e_4))_+, \\ ((e_1 \circ e_4) \circ e_2) \circ e_3 - e_3 \circ (e_2 \circ (e_1 \circ e_4)) &= -\mathcal{D}(e_3, e_2 \circ (e_1 \circ e_4))_+, \\ -(e_1 \circ e_2) \circ (e_3 \circ e_4) - (e_3 \circ e_4) \circ (e_1 \circ e_2) &= -\mathcal{D}(e_1 \circ e_2, e_3 \circ e_4)_+, \\ (e_1 \circ e_3) \circ (e_2 \circ e_4) + (e_2 \circ e_4) \circ (e_1 \circ e_3) &= \mathcal{D}(e_1 \circ e_3, e_2 \circ e_4)_+, \\ -(e_1 \circ e_4) \circ (e_2 \circ e_3) - (e_2 \circ e_3) \circ (e_1 \circ e_4) &= -\mathcal{D}(e_1 \circ e_4, e_2 \circ e_3)_+. \end{aligned}$$

It is obvious that the sum of the 18 terms in the left hand side is zero. Thus, we have $\partial J = 0$ as well as $\mathcal{D}(J^b) = 0$ by Lemma 3.3. ■

4 Leibniz 2-algebras and Lie 2-algebras

With previous preparation, in this section we show that, for a pre-Courant algebroid E , there is an associated Leibniz 2-algebra naturally. If using the skew-symmetric bracket, a Lie 2-algebra can be obtained.

Consider the complex

$$\mathbb{E} : \Gamma(\text{Ker}(\rho)) \xrightarrow{i} \Gamma(E). \quad (17)$$

Define degree-0 operation $l_2 : \mathbb{E} \times \mathbb{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}$ by

$$\begin{cases} l_2(e_1, e_2) &= e_1 \circ e_2 & \text{in degree-0, } \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E) \\ l_2(e, \kappa) &= e \circ \kappa & \text{in degree-1, } \forall e \in \Gamma(E), \kappa \in \Gamma(\text{Ker}(\rho)) \\ l_2(\kappa, e) &= \kappa \circ e, & \text{in degree-1, } \forall e \in \Gamma(E), \kappa \in \text{Ker}(\rho) \end{cases} \quad (18)$$

Define degree-1 operator $l_3 : \wedge^3 \mathbb{E} \longrightarrow \mathbb{E}$ by

$$l_3(e_1, e_2, e_3) = J(e_1, e_2, e_3), \quad \forall e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E) \quad (19)$$

Theorem 4.1. *With the above notations, associated to any pre-Courant algebroid E , $(\mathbb{E}, l_2, l_3)$ is a Leibniz 2-algebra, where $\mathbb{E}, l_2, l_3$ are given by (17), (18) and (19) respectively.*

Proof. By definition, it is straightforward to see that properties (a1) – (a3), (b1) – (b4) are satisfied. By Theorem 3.4, property (c) is also satisfied. Thus, $(\mathbb{E}, l_2, l_3)$ is a Leibniz 2-algebra. ■

Let $(E, (\cdot, \cdot)_+, \rho)$ be a Courant vector bundle with two pre-Courant algebroid structures $\circ$ and $\tilde{\circ}$. It is easy to see that $\omega \in C_{\mathcal{D}}^2(E, \text{Ker}(\rho))$, where

$$\omega(e_1, e_2) := e_1 \tilde{\circ} e_2 - e_1 \circ e_2, \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E). \quad (20)$$

Conversely, we have

Lemma 4.2. *Let $(E, (\cdot, \cdot)_+, \rho, \circ)$ be a pre-Courant algebroid and $\omega \in \Gamma(\text{Hom}(\wedge^2 E, E))$. Then $(E, (\cdot, \cdot)_+, \rho, \tilde{\circ})$, where $\tilde{\circ} = \circ + \omega$, is still a pre-Courant algebroid if and only if $\omega \in C_{\mathcal{D}}^2(E, \text{Ker}(\rho))$.*

Proof. We have

$$\rho(e_1 \tilde{\circ} e_2) = \rho(e_1 \circ e_2) + \rho(\omega(e_1, e_2)) = [\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2)] + \rho(\omega(e_1, e_2)),$$

which implies that Axiom (i) is equivalent to that the image of ω is contained in $\text{Ker}(\rho)$. Axiom (ii) is equivalent to that ω is skew-symmetric. Axiom (iii) is equivalent to that ω is totally skew-symmetric, i.e. $(\omega(e_1, e_2), e_3)_+ + (e_2, \omega(e_1, e_3))_+ = 0$. Thus, the deformed operation $\tilde{\circ}$ is a pre-Courant algebroid structure if and only if $\omega \in C_{\mathcal{D}}^2(E, \text{Ker}(\rho))$. ■

Two pre-Courant algebroid structures $\circ$ and $\tilde{\circ}$ are called equivalent if there exists a 2-form $\beta \in \Omega^2(M)$ such that

$$\tilde{\circ} = \circ + \rho^*(d\beta).$$

More precisely, $e_1 \tilde{\circ} e_2 = e_1 \circ e_2 + \rho^*(d\beta(\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2), \cdot))$. In this case, $B := \rho^*\beta \in C_{\mathcal{D}}^2(E)$ is called a **B -field**. Given a B -field, we define a B -field transformation $e^B : E \longrightarrow E$ by

$$e^B(e) = e + B^\sharp(e), \quad \forall e \in \Gamma(E).$$

We see that equivalent operators are different by a B -field transformation.

Proposition 4.3. *With the notations above, if $\tilde{\circ} = \circ + \rho^*(d\beta)$, then the bundle isomorphism $e^B : E \rightarrow E$ satisfies*

- $e_1 \tilde{\circ} e_2 = e^{-B}(e^B e_1 \circ e^B e_2), \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E);$
- $(e^B e_1, e^B e_2)_+ = (e_1, e_2)_+ \quad \text{and} \quad \rho \circ e^B = \rho;$
- $\tilde{J} = J$, i.e. the Jacobiator is invariant under a B-field transformation.

It is easy to see that if $d\beta = 0$, then $\tilde{\circ} = \circ$ and e^B is an automorphism of the pre-Courant algebroid $(E, (\cdot, \cdot)_+, \rho, \circ)$. To classify more general deformations, we have

Definition 4.4. *Let $(E, (\cdot, \cdot)_+, \rho)$ be a Courant vector bundle. Let H_1 and H_2 be the sections of $C_{\mathcal{D}}^{k+1}(E)$. We say that H_1 and H_2 are equivalent if there exists a k -form $h \in \Omega^k(M)$ such that*

$$H_1 - H_2 = \rho^*(dh).$$

*In particular, the equivalence class $[J^b]$ of the Jacobiator $J^b \in C_{\mathcal{D}}^4(E)$ of a pre-Courant algebroid is called the **Pontryagin class** of this pre-Courant algebroid.*

Proposition 4.5. *Let $(E, (\cdot, \cdot)_+, \rho)$ be a Courant vector bundle with two pre-Courant algebroid structures $\circ, \tilde{\circ}$ and their Jacobiators $J, \tilde{J}$ respectively. Then one has*

$$\circ - \tilde{\circ} = \rho^*(h) \implies J^b - \tilde{J}^b = \rho^*(dh), \quad h \in \Omega^3(M).$$

That is, the two pre-Courant algebroids have the same Pontryagin class if they can be deformed to each other by a 3-form on the base manifold.

In particular, if the Pontryagin class of a pre-Courant algebroid $(E, (\cdot, \cdot)_+, \rho, \circ)$ vanishes, i.e. $J^b = \rho^*(dh)$ then it is straightforward to see that it can be deformed into a Courant algebroid with the new operation: $\tilde{\circ} = \circ - \rho^*(h)$. That is, the Pontryagin class for a pre-Courant algebroid is the *obstruction* to be deformed into a Courant algebroid.

It is surprised that the Leibniz 2-algebra associated to an arbitrary deformed pre-Courant algebroid is isomorphic to the Leibniz 2-algebra associated to the original one. The follows is the main result of this section.

Theorem 4.6. *Let $(E, (\cdot, \cdot)_+, \rho)$ be a Courant vector bundle. $\circ$ and $\tilde{\circ}$ are two pre-Courant algebroid structures with their associated Leibniz 2-algebras $\mathbb{E}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$ respectively. Then $\mathbb{E}$ and $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$ are isomorphic.*

Proof. Denote by $\tilde{J}$ the Jacobiator of $\tilde{\circ} = \circ + \omega$, where $\omega \in C_{\mathcal{D}}^2(E, \text{Ker}(\rho))$. Then by straightforward computations, we have

$$\tilde{J} = J + \partial\omega + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2, \tag{21}$$

where $\omega^2 : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(E)$ is given by

$$\omega^2(e_1, e_2, e_3) = 2(\omega(e_1, \omega(e_2, e_3)) + c.p.).$$

Construct the isomorphism (f_0, f_1, f_2) from $\mathbb{E}$ to $\tilde{\mathbb{E}}$ as follows: $f_0 = id : \Gamma(E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(E)$, $f_1 = id : \Gamma(\text{Ker}(\rho)) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\text{Ker}(\rho))$ and $f_2 = \omega : \Gamma(E) \times \Gamma(E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\text{Ker}(\rho))$. It is obvious that (3) is satisfied. By straightforward computations, the left hand side of (4) is equal to $J_1 + \partial\omega + \frac{1}{2}\omega^2 - \tilde{J}$. By (21), it is zero. Thus, $(f_0 = id, f_1 = id, f_2 = \omega) : \mathbb{E} \longrightarrow \tilde{\mathbb{E}}$ is an isomorphism. ■

In [21], the author proved that in an exact twisted Courant algebroid¹ by a closed 4-form H , H is exact, i.e. $H = dh$, for some $h \in \Omega^3(M)$. The bracket operation is given by

$$\{X + \xi, Y + \eta\} = [X, Y] + L_X\eta - i_Y d\xi + h(X, Y). \quad (22)$$

Thus, it can be seen as a deformation of the standard Courant algebroid by $\omega \in C_D^2(E, \text{Ker}(\rho))$, where $E = TM \oplus T^*M$, ρ is the projection, and ω is given by

$$\omega(X + \xi, Y + \eta) = h(X, Y).$$

Corollary 4.7. *The Leibniz 2-algebra associated to an exact pre-Courant algebroid is isomorphic to the Leibniz 2-algebra associated to the standard Courant algebroid.*

Next we construct the Lie 2-algebra associated to a pre-Courant algebroid. For an H -twisted Courant algebroid, such a Lie 2-algebra structure has been pointed out in [11]. Since we find that this is a nontrivial construction, we shall give detailed procedure below. Define the skew-symmetric bracket:

$$[[e_1, e_2]] = \frac{1}{2}(e_1 \circ e_2 - e_2 \circ e_1) = e_1 \circ e_2 - \frac{1}{2}\mathcal{D}(e_1, e_2)_+. \quad (23)$$

Denote by $\mathcal{J} : \wedge^3 \Gamma(E) \longrightarrow \Gamma(E)$ its Jacobiator:

$$\mathcal{J}(e_1, e_2, e_3) = [[e_1, [[e_2, e_3]]]] + c.p.$$

By means of [19, Proposition 2.6.5], we have

$$\mathcal{J}(e_1, e_2, e_3) = J(e_1, e_2, e_3) - \mathcal{D}T(e_1, e_2, e_3),$$

where $T(e_1, e_2, e_3)$ is given by

$$T(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \frac{1}{6}(([[e_1, e_2]], e_3)_+ + c.p.).$$

¹We will see in next section that an exact twisted Courant algebroid by a closed 4-form is exactly an exact pre-Courant algebroid.

Now we define a 2-term complex as follows:

$$\mathcal{E} : \Gamma(\text{Ker}(\rho)) \xrightarrow{i} \Gamma(E). \quad (24)$$

Define degree-0 operation $l_2 : \wedge^2 \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ by

$$\begin{cases} l_2(e_1, e_2) = \llbracket e_1, e_2 \rrbracket & \text{in degree-0, } \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E) \\ l_2(e_1, \kappa) = \llbracket e_1, \kappa \rrbracket & \text{in degree-1, } \forall e_1 \in \Gamma(E), \kappa \in \Gamma((\text{Ker}(\rho))^\perp). \end{cases} \quad (25)$$

Define degree-1 operator $l_3 : \wedge^3 \mathcal{E} \longrightarrow \mathcal{E}$ by

$$l_3(e_1, e_2, e_3) = \mathcal{J}(e_1, e_2, e_3), \quad \text{in degree-0, } \forall e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E). \quad (26)$$

Theorem 4.8. *For a pre-Courant algebroid E , $(\mathcal{E}, l_2, l_3)$ is a Lie 2-algebra, where $\mathcal{E}, l_2, l_3$ are given by (24), (25), (26) respectively.*

Proof. It is obvious that we only need to show

$$\begin{aligned} & \sum_{i=1}^4 (-1)^{i+1} \llbracket e_i, \mathcal{J}(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_4) \rrbracket \\ & + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \mathcal{J}(\llbracket e_i, e_j \rrbracket, e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, \hat{e}_j, \dots, e_4) = 0. \end{aligned} \quad (27)$$

Write the left hand side of (27) as $K_1 - K_2$, where

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^4 (-1)^{i+1} \llbracket e_i, J(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_4) \rrbracket \\ &+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} J(\llbracket e_i, e_j \rrbracket, e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, \hat{e}_j, \dots, e_4), \end{aligned}$$

and

$$\begin{aligned} K_2 &= \sum_{i=1}^4 (-1)^{i+1} \llbracket e_i, \mathcal{D}T(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_4) \rrbracket \\ &+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} \mathcal{D}T(\llbracket e_i, e_j \rrbracket, e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, \hat{e}_j, \dots, e_4). \end{aligned}$$

By straightforward computations, we have

$$\begin{aligned} K_1 &= \sum_{i=1}^4 (-1)^{i+1} (e_i \circ J(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_4) - \frac{1}{2} (e_i, J(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_4))_+) \\ &+ \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} J(e_i \circ e_j - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}(e_i, e_j)_+, e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, \hat{e}_j, \dots, e_4) \end{aligned}$$

$$\begin{aligned}
&= \sum_{i=1}^3 (-1)^{i+1} (e_i \circ J(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_4) - \frac{1}{2} (e_i, J(e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, e_4))_+) \\
&\quad + J(e_1, e_2, e_3) \circ e_4 - \frac{1}{2} \mathcal{D}(e_4, J(e_1, e_2, e_3))_+ \\
&\quad + \sum_{i < j} (-1)^{i+j} J(e_i \circ e_j, e_1, \dots, \hat{e}_i, \dots, \hat{e}_j, \dots, e_4) \\
&= (\partial J)(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4) + \mathcal{D}(J^\flat(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4)).
\end{aligned}$$

By Theorem 3.4, we have $\partial J = 0$, so we have $K_1 = \mathcal{D}(J^\flat(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4))$.

In the case of Courant algebroids, by [19, Theorem 2.4.3], we have $K_2 = 0$. Now for pre-Courant algebroids, after similar computations as in [19, Theorem 2.4.3], we have $K_2 = \mathcal{D}(J^\flat(e_1, e_2, e_3, e_4))$. Thus, we have $K_1 - K_2 = 0$. The proof is completed. ■

Similarly, we can also prove that

Corollary 4.9. *The associated Lie 2-algebras to all possible pre-Courant algebroid structures on a Courant vector bundle are isomorphic to each other.*

5 Regular pre-Courant algebroids

As mentioned in the last section, in general, $\mathcal{D}^2 \neq 0$ since the Jacobiator is nontrivial. The following proposition shows that one can really get some cochain complex from a kind of pre-Courant algebroids.

Proposition 5.1. *Let E be a pre-Courant algebroid such that*

$$J(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in \rho^*(T^*M) = (\text{Ker}(\rho))^\perp, \quad \forall e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E)$$

Then we have $\mathcal{D}^2 = 0$ (resp. $\partial^2 = 0$), i.e. $\mathcal{D}$ (resp. ∂) is a coboundary operator. Thus $(C_\bullet^\bullet(E), \mathcal{D})$ (resp. $(C_\bullet^\bullet(E, \text{Ker}(\rho)), \partial)$) defines a cochain complex.

Follow [23], we call the above cohomology the **naive cohomology** of a pre-Courant algebroid. It will be interesting to explain a pre-Courant algebroid using the language of super manifolds, and explain the naive cohomology correspondingly.

E is said to be a **regular pre-Courant algebroid**, if $F := \rho(E)$ has constant rank, i.e. F is a subbundle of TM . The next proposition provides some criterions for Proposition 5.1.

Proposition 5.2. *Let E be a regular pre-Courant algebroid. Then the following statements are equivalent:*

- (S1) $J(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in \Gamma((\text{Ker}(\rho))^\perp), \quad \forall e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E);$
- (S2) $J(\kappa, \cdot, \cdot) = 0, \quad \forall \kappa \in \Gamma(\text{Ker}(\rho));$

(S3) *There exists a 4-form $H \in \Omega^4(M)$ closed along F -fiber, such that $J^\flat = \rho^* H$.*

Proof. (S1) $\Rightarrow$ (S2): If $J(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in \Gamma(\rho^*(T^*M)) = \Gamma((\text{Ker}(\rho))^\perp)$, for any $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E)$, then $J^\flat(e_1, e_2, e_3, \kappa) = 0$, for any $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E)$ and $\kappa \in \Gamma(\text{Ker}(\rho))$. Since $J^\flat$ is skew-symmetric, so we get

$$J^\flat(\kappa, e_2, e_3, e_1) = (J(\kappa, e_2, e_3), e_1)_+ = 0.$$

Since $(\cdot, \cdot)_+$ is nondegenerate, we get $J(\kappa, \cdot, \cdot) = 0$, for any $\kappa \in \Gamma(\text{Ker}(\rho))$.

(S2) $\Rightarrow$ (S3): if $J(\kappa, \cdot, \cdot) = 0$, for any $\kappa \in \Gamma(\text{Ker}(\rho))$, we have

$$J^\flat(\kappa, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) = (J(\kappa, \cdot, \cdot), \cdot)_+ = 0, \quad \forall \kappa \in \Gamma(\text{Ker}(\rho)).$$

So there is a 4-form $H \in \Omega^4(M)$ such that $J^\flat = \rho^*(H)$. It is not hard to deduce that

$$\mathcal{D}J^\flat = \rho^*(dH).$$

By Theorem 3.4, $\mathcal{D}J^\flat = 0$. Thus, we have $\rho^*(dH) = 0$, which implies that H is fibrewise closed.

(S3) $\Rightarrow$ (S1): For any $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E)$ and $\kappa \in \Gamma(\text{Ker}(\rho))$, we have

$$(J(e_1, e_2, e_3), \kappa)_+ = J^\flat(e_1, e_2, e_3, \kappa) = H(\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2), \rho(e_3), \rho(\kappa)) = 0.$$

Thus, we have $J(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in \Gamma((\text{Ker}(\rho))^\perp)$. ■

Remark 5.3. It is obvious that a twisted Courant algebroid by a closed 4-form is a pre-Courant algebroid satisfying $J(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in \Gamma((\text{Ker}(\rho))^\perp)$. By (S3), a pre-Courant algebroid satisfying $J(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in \Gamma((\text{Ker}(\rho))^\perp)$ is not necessarily a twisted Courant algebroid by a closed 4-form. There are only very tiny difference. In the transitive case, i.e. $\rho(E) = TM$, they are same. Since twisted Courant algebroids by closed 4-forms arise naturally from the study of three dimensional sigma models with Wess-Zumino term [13], it would be interesting to investigate the physical meaning of pre-Courant algebroids.

Corollary 5.4. *Let E be a regular pre-Courant algebroid satisfying $J(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in \Gamma((\text{Ker}(\rho))^\perp)$, then $E/(\text{Ker}(\rho))^\perp$ is a Lie algebroid.*

Proof. If E is pre-Courant algebroid satisfying $J(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in \Gamma((\text{Ker}(\rho))^\perp)$, the induced skew-symmetric bracket operation on $E/(\text{Ker}(\rho))^\perp$ satisfies the Jacobi identity, which implies that $E/(\text{Ker}(\rho))^\perp$ is a Lie algebroid. ■

A pre-Courant algebroid E is called a **transitive pre-Courant algebroid** when $\rho(E) = TM$. In the following, we will choose a dissection of E , and get further insight to the results above.

Similarly to the treatment about Courant algebroids in [9], we choose a dissection of a transitive pre-Courant algebroid E firstly, i.e. an isomorphism of vector bundles:

$$\Psi : TM \oplus \mathcal{G} \oplus T^*M \longrightarrow E$$

such that

$$(\Psi(x + r + \xi), \Psi(y + s + \eta))_+ = \xi(y) + \eta(x) + (r, s)^{\mathcal{G}},$$

for all $x, y \in \Gamma(TM)$, $\xi, \eta \in \Gamma(T^*M)$ and $r, s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{G})$, where $\mathcal{G} := \text{Ker}(\rho)/(\text{Ker}(\rho))^\perp$ is a vector bundle with the induced bilinear form $(\cdot, \cdot)^{\mathcal{G}}$ from $(\cdot, \cdot)_+$. Following the methods in [9], dissections of a pre-Courant algebroid E always exist.

Now fix a dissection $\Psi : TM \oplus \mathcal{G} \oplus T^*M \longrightarrow E$, then the isomorphism Ψ transports the pre-Courant algebroid structure of E to $TM \oplus \mathcal{G} \oplus T^*M$. Given this dissection, the Dorfman bracket $\circ$ induces four canonical maps:

$$(a) \nabla : \Gamma(TM) \otimes \Gamma(\mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{G}) :$$

$$\nabla_x r = \text{Pr}_{\mathcal{G}}(x \circ r), \quad \forall x \in \Gamma(TM), r \in \Gamma(\mathcal{G});$$

$$(b) R : \Gamma(TM) \otimes \Gamma(TM) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{G}) :$$

$$R(x, y) = \text{Pr}_{\mathcal{G}}(x \circ y), \quad \forall x, y \in \Gamma(TM);$$

$$(c) [-, -]^{\mathcal{G}} : \Gamma(\mathcal{G}) \otimes \Gamma(\mathcal{G}) \longrightarrow \Gamma(\mathcal{G})$$

$$[r, s]^{\mathcal{G}} = \text{Pr}_{\mathcal{G}}(r \circ s) \quad \forall r, s \in \Gamma(\mathcal{G});$$

$$(d) \Psi : \Gamma(TM) \otimes \Gamma(TM) \otimes \Gamma(TM) \longrightarrow C^\infty(M) :$$

$$\Psi(x, y, z) = \text{Pr}_{T^*M}(x \circ y)(z), \quad \forall x, y, z \in \Gamma(TM).$$

By the properties of a pre-Courant algebroid, it is easy to see that R and Ψ is skew-symmetric and $C^\infty(M)$ -bilinear. Using $\nabla, R, \Psi, [\cdot, \cdot]^{\mathcal{G}}$, we could give the Dorfman operator on $TM \oplus \mathcal{G} \oplus T^*M$: For any $x_i \in \Gamma(TM), r_i \in \Gamma(\mathcal{G}), \xi_i \in \Gamma(T^*M), i = 1, 2, 3, 4$

$$\begin{aligned} x_1 \circ x_2 &= [x_1, x_2] + R(x_1, x_2) + \Psi(x_1, x_2, \cdot), \\ r_1 \circ r_2 &= [r_1, r_2]^{\mathcal{G}} + (r_2, \nabla r_1)_+, \\ x_1 \circ r_1 &= -r_1 \circ x_1 = \nabla_{x_1} r_1 - (r_1, R(x_1, \cdot))_+, \\ x_1 \circ \xi_1 &= L_{x_1} \xi_1, \\ \xi_1 \circ x_1 &= -i_{x_1} d\xi_1, \\ r_1 \circ \xi_1 &= \xi_1 \circ r_1 = \xi_1 \circ \xi_2 = 0, \end{aligned}$$

And we can compute the Jacobiator directly:

$$\begin{aligned} J(x_1, x_2, x_3) &= -\frac{1}{2}(R \wedge R)^{\mathcal{G}}(x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdot) + d\Psi(x_1, x_2, x_3, \cdot) \\ &\quad + \{\nabla_{x_1} R(x_2, x_3) - R([x_1, x_2], x_3) + c.p.\}, \\ J(x_1, x_2, r_1) &= \nabla_{x_1} \nabla_{x_2} r_1 - \nabla_{x_2} \nabla_{x_1} r_1 - \nabla_{[x_1, x_2]} r_1 - [R(x_1, x_2), r_1]^{\mathcal{G}} + U(x_1, x_2, r_1), \\ J(x_1, r_1, r_2) &= \nabla_{x_1} [r_1, r_2]^{\mathcal{G}} - [\nabla_{x_1} r_1, r_2]^{\mathcal{G}} - [r_1, \nabla_{x_1} r_2]^{\mathcal{G}} + V(x_1, r_1, r_2), \\ J(r_1, r_2, r_3) &= [[r_1, r_2]^{\mathcal{G}}, r_3]^{\mathcal{G}} + [[r_3, r_1]^{\mathcal{G}}, r_2]^{\mathcal{G}} + [[r_2, r_3]^{\mathcal{G}}, r_1]^{\mathcal{G}} + W(r_1, r_2, r_3), \\ J(\xi_1, e_1, e_2) &= 0, \end{aligned}$$

where $(R \wedge R)^{\mathcal{G}}$ is the 4-form on M given by

$$(R \wedge R)^{\mathcal{G}}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) = \frac{1}{4} \sum_{\sigma \in S_4} \text{sgn}(\sigma) (R(x_{\sigma(1)}, x_{\sigma(2)}), R(x_{\sigma(3)}, x_{\sigma(4)}))^{\mathcal{G}}, \quad (28)$$

and $U \in \Gamma(\mathcal{G}^* \otimes \wedge^3 T^*M)$, $V \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 \mathcal{G}^* \otimes \wedge^2 T^*M)$, $W \in \Gamma(\wedge^3 \mathcal{G}^* \otimes T^*M)$ are defined by:

$$\begin{aligned} U(x_1, x_2, r_1)(x_3) &= -(\nabla_{x_1} R(x_2, x_3) - R([x_1, x_2], x_3)) + c.p., r_1)^{\mathcal{G}}, \\ V(x_1, r_1, r_2)(x_2) &= (\nabla_{x_1} \nabla_{x_2} r_1 - \nabla_{x_2} \nabla_{x_1} r_1 - \nabla_{[x_1, x_2]} r_1 - [R(x_1, x_2), r_1]^{\mathcal{G}}, r_2)^{\mathcal{G}}, \\ W(r_1, r_2, r_3)(x_1) &= -(\nabla_{x_1} [r_1, r_2]^{\mathcal{G}} - [\nabla_{x_1} r_1, r_2]^{\mathcal{G}} - [r_1, \nabla_{x_1} r_2]^{\mathcal{G}}, r_3)^{\mathcal{G}}. \end{aligned}$$

We see that the Pontryagin class of $TM \oplus \mathcal{G} \oplus T^*M$ is given by

$$\begin{aligned} B(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) &= -\frac{1}{2} (R \wedge R)^{\mathcal{G}}(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) + d\Psi(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4), \\ B(x_1, x_2, x_3, r_1) &= (\nabla_{x_1} R(x_2, x_3) - R([x_1, x_2], x_3)) + c.p., r_1)^{\mathcal{G}}, \\ B(x_1, x_2, r_1, r_2) &= (\nabla_{x_1} \nabla_{x_2} r_1 - \nabla_{x_2} \nabla_{x_1} r_1 - \nabla_{[x_1, x_2]} r_1 - [R(x_1, x_2), r_1]^{\mathcal{G}}, r_2)^{\mathcal{G}}, \\ B(x_1, r_1, r_2, r_3) &= (\nabla_{x_1} [r_1, r_2]^{\mathcal{G}} - [\nabla_{x_1} r_1, r_2]^{\mathcal{G}} - [r_1, \nabla_{x_1} r_2]^{\mathcal{G}}, r_3)^{\mathcal{G}}, \\ B(r_1, r_2, r_3, r_4) &= ([r_1, r_2]^{\mathcal{G}}, r_3)^{\mathcal{G}} + [[r_3, r_1]^{\mathcal{G}}, r_2]^{\mathcal{G}} + [[r_2, r_3]^{\mathcal{G}}, r_1]^{\mathcal{G}}, r_4)^{\mathcal{G}}, \\ B(\xi, \cdot, \cdot, \cdot) &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

Now if $J(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in \Gamma((\text{Ker}(\rho))^{\perp})$, we know that the operator $\circ$ induces a Lie algebroid structure on the bundle $E/(\text{Ker}(\rho))^{\perp} = TM \oplus \mathcal{G}$, it is equivalent to say that the maps $[-, -]^{\mathcal{G}}, \nabla, R, \Psi$ satisfied the following equalities:

$$\begin{aligned} [[r_1, r_2]^{\mathcal{G}}, r_3]^{\mathcal{G}} + [[r_3, r_1]^{\mathcal{G}}, r_2]^{\mathcal{G}} + [[r_2, r_3]^{\mathcal{G}}, r_1]^{\mathcal{G}} &= 0, \\ \nabla_{x_1} [r_1, r_2]^{\mathcal{G}} - [\nabla_{x_1} r_1, r_2]^{\mathcal{G}} - [r_1, \nabla_{x_1} r_2]^{\mathcal{G}} &= 0, \\ \nabla_{x_1} R(x_2, x_3) - R([x_1, x_2], x_3) + c.p. &= 0, \\ \nabla_{x_1} \nabla_{x_2} r_1 - \nabla_{x_2} \nabla_{x_1} r_1 - \nabla_{[x_1, x_2]} r_1 - [R(x_1, x_2), r_1]^{\mathcal{G}} &= 0. \end{aligned}$$

So when $J(e_1, e_2, e_3) \in \Gamma((\text{Ker}(\rho))^{\perp})$, we get

$$J(e_1, e_2, e_3) = -\frac{1}{2} (R \wedge R)^{\mathcal{G}}(\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2), \rho(e_3), \cdot) + d\Psi(\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2), \rho(e_3), \cdot).$$

By the Jacobiator given above, we see that $B = \rho^* H$ for a 4-form H on M defined by $H = \frac{1}{2} (R \wedge R)^{\mathcal{G}} - d\Psi$. This is exactly the statement of Proposition 5.2 in the transitive case.

Remark 5.5. *In the transitive case, given a dissection of a pre Courant algebroid E , $E = TM \oplus \mathcal{G} \oplus T^*M$. We can choose a torsion free connection ∇^1 of TM , and a connection ∇^2 of vector bundle $\mathcal{G}$ by $\nabla_x^2 r = \text{Pr}_g x \circ r$. Then we get a metric connection ∇ on $E = TM \oplus \mathcal{G} \oplus T^*M$ by $\nabla_x(y + r + \xi) = \nabla_x^1 y + \nabla_x^2 r + \nabla_x^1 \xi$. We call this connection ∇*

a good connection associated to a chosen dissection of E . According to Proposition 6.1, suppose that the pre-Courant structure on E is given by (∇, β) , then compare the operator given in Proposition 6.1 and above, we have the following relationships:

$$\beta(x_1 + r_1 + \xi_1, x_2 + r_2 + \xi_2) = R(x_1, x_2) + \Psi(x_1, x_2, -) + [r_1, r_2]^g,$$

for any $x_1, x_2 \in \Gamma(TM)$, $r_1, r_2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{G})$, $\xi_1, \xi_2 \in \Gamma(T^*M)$.

For a transitive quadratic Lie algebroid A , we can construct a twisted Courant algebroid structure on $A \oplus T^*M$ following the procedure above. Especially, Let P be a G -principal bundle on M , and $\mathfrak{g}$ be a quadratic Lie algebra. With a dissection we have an extension of the Atiyah algebroid TP/G to a twisted Courant-algebroid, and the twisted 4-form is given by the first Pontryagin class of TP/G corresponding to the dissection. For more details for the **first Pontryagin class** of a quadratic Lie algebroid see [6], [9].

6 Construction of pre-Courant algebroids

Summarize the results of Izu Vaisman [25], we have a general method to construct pre-Courant algebroids:

Proposition 6.1. *For any Courant vector bundle $(E, (\cdot, \cdot)_+, \rho)$, a pair (∇, β) gives a pre-Courant algebroid structures on E , where $\nabla : \mathfrak{X}(M) \times \Gamma(E) \rightarrow \Gamma(E)$ is a metric connection on E , i.e.*

$$X(e_1, e_2)_+ = (\nabla_X e_1, e_2)_+ + (e_1, \nabla_X e_2)_+,$$

and $\beta \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 E^* \otimes E)$ satisfies the following properties:

- For any $e_1, e_2, e_3 \in \Gamma(E)$, the map $(e_1, e_2, e_3) \mapsto (\beta(e_1, e_2), e_3)_+$ is totally skew-symmetric with respect to the pseudo-metric $(\cdot, \cdot)_+$,
- $\rho(\beta(e_1, e_2)) = [\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2)] - \rho(\nabla_{\rho(e_1)} e_2 - \nabla_{\rho(e_2)} e_1)$.

Using the pair (∇, β) , the bracket operation in the pre-Courant algebroid is given by:

$$e_1 \circ e_2 = \nabla_{\rho(e_1)} e_2 - \nabla_{\rho(e_2)} e_1 + \rho^*((\nabla e_1, e_2)_+) + \beta(e_1, e_2), \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(E),$$

where $\rho^*((\nabla e_1, e_2)_+)$ is decided by:

$$(\rho^*((\nabla e_1, e_2)_+), e)_+ = (\nabla_{\rho(e)} e_1, e_2)_+, \quad \forall e \in \Gamma(E).$$

Proof. Using the properties of pair (∇, β) , it is easy to verify the operator $\circ$ defined above satisfies Axioms (i), (ii) and (iii) in the definition of a pre-Courant algebroid. ■

It is known that one can construct Courant algebroids from the coisotropic actions [5]. In the following, we will define twisted actions, and construct pre-Courant algebroids by

coisotropic twisted actions. First, let us recall how to construct Courant algebroids from coisotropic actions in the language of Proposition 6.1.

Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a quadratic Lie algebra and $\rho : \mathfrak{g} \rightarrow \mathfrak{X}(M)$ be a morphism. If $\text{Ker}(\rho)$ is coisotropic, then the trivial bundle $M \times \mathfrak{g}$ is a Courant vector bundle with anchor ρ . It is well known that $M \times \mathfrak{g}$ is an action Lie algebroid and we denote the induced Lie bracket on $\Gamma(M \times \mathfrak{g})$ by $[\cdot, \cdot]_{M \times \mathfrak{g}}$:

$$[e_1, e_2]_{M \times \mathfrak{g}} = L_{\rho(e_1)}e_2 - L_{\rho(e_2)}e_1 + [e_1, e_2]_{\mathfrak{g}},$$

where $[e_1, e_2]_{\mathfrak{g}}$ is the pointwise Lie bracket of two sections $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(M \times \mathfrak{g}) = C^\infty(M, \mathfrak{g})$. Define the metric connection ∇ by

$$\nabla_X e = L_X e, \quad X \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \quad e \in \Gamma(M \times \mathfrak{g}) = C^\infty(M, \mathfrak{g}),$$

and define β by

$$\beta(e_1, e_2) = [e_1, e_2]_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

It is easy to see the (∇, β) satisfies the properties listed in Proposition 6.1, so that $(M \times \mathfrak{g}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}}, \rho, \circ)$ is a pre-Courant algebroid, where the operation $\circ$ is given by

$$e_1 \circ e_2 = L_{\rho(e_1)}e_2 - L_{\rho(e_2)}e_1 + [e_1, e_2]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \rho^*(\nabla e_1, e_2)_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

It is easy to check that $J = 0$, which implies that $(M \times \mathfrak{g}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}}, \rho, \circ)$ is a Courant algebroid.

Now, to get pre-Courant algebroids, we introduce the concept of twisted actions as follows:

Definition 6.2. Let $\mathfrak{g}$ be a Lie algebra and (ρ, k) a pair bundle maps:

$$\rho : M \times \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow TM; \quad k : \wedge^2(M \times \mathfrak{g}) \longrightarrow M \times \mathfrak{g}$$

satisfying $k(e, \cdot) = 0, \forall e \in \text{Ker}(\rho)$ and

$$\rho([e_1, e_2]_{M \times \mathfrak{g}}) = [\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2)] - \rho(k(e_1, e_2)), \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(M \times \mathfrak{g}). \quad (29)$$

Then we call (ρ, k) is a twisted action of $\mathfrak{g}$ on M .

If we consider the twisted bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_k$ on $\Gamma(M \times \mathfrak{g})$:

$$[e_1, e_2]_k = [e_1, e_2]_{M \times \mathfrak{g}} + k(e_1, e_2).$$

Equality (29) is saying that ρ is a morphism with respect to the twisted bracket $[\cdot, \cdot]_k$. But, in general, $[\cdot, \cdot]_k$ is not a Lie bracket. It seems interesting to build the framework for this kind of action.

Theorem 6.3. Let $(\mathfrak{g}, [\cdot, \cdot]_{\mathfrak{g}}, (\cdot, \cdot)_{\mathfrak{g}})$ be a quadratic Lie algebra with a twisted action on M by (ρ, k) . Then, if $\text{Ker}(\rho)$ is coisotropic, there is a pre-Courant algebroid structure on the bundle $M \times \mathfrak{g}$ with the operation $\circ$ given by formula:

$$e_1 \circ e_2 = [e_1, e_2]_{M \times \mathfrak{g}} + k(e_1, e_2) - (e_2, k(e_1, \cdot))_+ + (e_1, k(e_2, \cdot))_+ + \rho^*(\nabla e_1, e_2)_{\mathfrak{g}}.$$

Proof. First $\text{Ker}(\rho)$ being coisotropic means that $(M \times \mathfrak{g}, (\cdot, \cdot)^\mathfrak{g}, \rho)$ is a Courant bundle. In the following, we construct a pair (∇, β) satisfying conditions in Proposition 6.1. We choose the metric connection ∇ on $M \times \mathfrak{g}$ as follows:

$$\nabla_X e = L_X e, \quad \forall X \in \mathfrak{X}(M), \quad e \in \Gamma(M \times \mathfrak{g}) = C^\infty(M, \mathfrak{g}).$$

By (29), we have

$$\rho[e_1, e_2]_\mathfrak{g} + \rho(L_{e_1} e_2 - L_{e_2} e_1) = [\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2)] - \rho k(e_1, e_2).$$

Therefore, we have

$$\rho([e_1, e_2]_\mathfrak{g} + k(e_1, e_2)) = [\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2)] - \rho(L_{e_1} e_2 - L_{e_2} e_1).$$

Thus, $\tilde{\beta}(e_1, e_2) = [e_1, e_2]_\mathfrak{g} + k(e_1, e_2)$ satisfies the second condition in Proposition 6.1. But $\tilde{\beta}$ is not totally skew-symmetric with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)^\mathfrak{g}$. Let β be the skew-symmetrization of $\tilde{\beta}$ with respect to $(\cdot, \cdot)^\mathfrak{g}$, i.e.

$$\beta(e_1, e_2) = [e_1, e_2]_\mathfrak{g} + k(e_1, e_2) - (e_2, k(e_1, \cdot))_+ + (e_1, k(e_2, \cdot))_+,$$

where $(e_2, k(e_1, \cdot))_+, (e_1, k(e_2, \cdot))_+ \in \Gamma(M \times \mathfrak{g})$ are given by

$$\left((e_2, k(e_1, \cdot))_+, e_3 \right)_+ = (e_2, k(e_1, e_3))_+, \quad \left((e_1, k(e_2, \cdot))_+, e_3 \right)_+ = (e_1, k(e_2, e_3))_+.$$

By the assumption for k , $\forall \kappa \in \text{Ker}(\rho)$, we have

$$\left((e_2, k(e_1, \cdot))_+, \kappa \right)_+ = (e_2, k(e_1, \kappa))_+ = 0,$$

which implies that

$$(e_2, k(e_1, \cdot))_+ \in (\text{Ker}(\rho))^\perp \subset \text{Ker}(\rho).$$

Similarly, we have $(e_1, k(e_2, \cdot))_+ \in \text{Ker}(\rho)$. Thus, β defined above satisfies the second condition in Proposition 6.1. Therefore, (∇, β) constructed above gives a pre-Courant algebroid structure on $M \times \mathfrak{g}$, and for $e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(M \times \mathfrak{g})$ the operation $\circ$ is given by

$$e_1 \circ e_2 = [e_1, e_2]_{M \times \mathfrak{g}} + k(e_1, e_2) - (e_2, k(e_1, \cdot))_+ + (e_1, k(e_2, \cdot))_+ + \rho^*(\nabla e_1, e_2)^\mathfrak{g}.$$

The proof is finished. ■

A nontrivial example of twisted action comes from Cartan geometry (in particular, Parabolic geometry) so that we recall the definition of Cartan geometry briefly (See [7]).

Definition 6.4. Let G be a Lie group with Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g}$ and closed subgroup P , a Cartan geometry $(\pi : \mathcal{G} \rightarrow M, \omega)$ of type (G, P) is a principal P -bundle $\mathcal{G} \rightarrow M$ equipped a $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued 1-form (Cartan connection) satisfying the following conditions:

- (a) the map $\omega_p : T_p \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow \mathfrak{g}$ is a linear isomorphism for every $p \in \mathcal{G}$;
- (b) $r_a^* \omega = \text{Ad}(a^{-1}) \circ \omega \ \forall a \in P$, where r_a is the right action of a on $\mathcal{G}$;
- (c) $\omega(\hat{A}) = A, \ \forall A \in \mathfrak{p}$, $\mathfrak{p}$ is the Lie algebra of P and $\hat{A}$ is the fundamental vector field.

The curvature of a Cartan connection is defined as a $\mathfrak{g}$ -valued 2-form

$$K := d\omega + \frac{1}{2}[\omega, \omega] \in \Gamma(\wedge^2 T\mathcal{G} \otimes \mathfrak{g}). \quad (30)$$

Thus, the bundle map $\omega^{-1} : M \times \mathfrak{g} \longrightarrow T\mathcal{G}$ satisfies, $\forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(M \times \mathfrak{g})$,

$$\omega^{-1}([e_1, e_2]_{M \times \mathfrak{g}}) = [\omega^{-1}(e_1), \omega^{-1}(e_2)] - \omega^{-1}(K(\omega(e_1), \omega(e_2))). \quad (31)$$

Consider the quadratic Lie algebra $\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}^*$ with the Lie bracket:

$$[A + \xi, B + \eta] = [A, B]_{\mathfrak{g}} + \text{ad}_A^* \eta - \text{ad}_B^* \xi, \quad \forall A, B \in \mathfrak{g}, \quad \forall \xi, \eta \in \mathfrak{g}^*.$$

and the invariant inner product:

$$(A + \xi, B + \eta)_+ = \langle A, \eta \rangle + \langle B, \xi \rangle.$$

Next, for the Cartan geometry $(\pi : \mathcal{G} \longrightarrow M, \omega)$ of type (G, P) , we shall define a coisotropic twisted action of $(\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}^*, (\cdot, \cdot)_+)$ on M by (ρ, k) . Set up

$$\rho : \mathcal{G} \times (\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}^*) \longrightarrow T\mathcal{G}; \quad \rho(A + \xi) \longrightarrow \omega^{-1}(A),$$

and

$$k : \wedge^2(\mathcal{G} \times (\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}^*)) \longrightarrow \mathcal{G} \times (\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}^*); \quad k(A + \xi, B + \eta) = K(\omega^{-1}(A), \omega^{-1}(B))$$

It is not difficult to see that $\text{Ker}(\rho)$ is coisotropic and

$$\rho([e_1, e_2]_{\mathcal{G} \times (\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}^*)}) = [\rho(e_1), \rho(e_2)] - \rho(k(e_1, e_2)), \quad \forall e_1, e_2 \in \Gamma(\mathcal{G} \times (\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}^*)).$$

Thus (ρ, k) is a coisotropic twisted action. By Theorem 6.3, we give a pre-Courant algebroid structure on $\mathcal{G} \times (\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}^*)$.

Finally, we see that, as vector bundles

$$\mathcal{G} \times (\mathfrak{g} \ltimes \mathfrak{g}^*) \cong \mathcal{G} \times (\mathfrak{g} \oplus \mathfrak{g}^*) \cong T\mathcal{G} \oplus T^*\mathcal{G}.$$

So that such a pre-Courant algebroid is actually an exact twisted Courant algebroid.

References

- [1] M. Ammar and N. Poncin, Coalgebraic Approach to the Loday Infinity Category, Stem Differential for $2n$ -ary Graded and Homotopy Algebras, *Ann. Inst. Four.* 60 no. 1 (2010), 355-387.
- [2] S. Armstrong and R. Lu, Courant Algebroids in Parabolic Geometry, arXiv:1112.6425.
- [3] J. Baez and A. S. Crans, Higher-Dimensional Algebra VI: Lie 2-Algebras, *Theory and Appl. Categ.* 12 (2004), 492-528.
- [4] J. Baez and C. Rogers, Categorified Symplectic Geometry and the String Lie 2-Algebra, *Homology, Homotopy and Applications* 12 (2010), 221-236.
- [5] D. L. Bland and E. Meinrenken, Courant algebroid and Poisson geometry, *Int. Math. Res. Not. IMRN* 2009(2009), no.11, 2106-2145.
- [6] P. Bressler, The first Pontryagin class, *Compos. Math.* 143 (2007), no 5, 1127-1163.
- [7] A. Čap and J. Slovák, Parabolic geometry. I Background and General Theorey, Mathematical Surveys and Monographs, vol. 154, American Mathematical Society, Providence, RI, 2009.
- [8] Z. Chen, Z. Liu and Y. Sheng, E -Courant algebroids, *Int. Math. Res. Not.* Vol. 2010, No. 22, pp. 4334-4376.
- [9] Z. Chen, M. Stienon and P. Xu, On regular Courant algebroids, arXiv:0909.0319v3.
- [10] M. Grützmann, H -twisted Lie algebroids, *J. Geom. Phys.* 61 (2011), 476-484.
- [11] M. Grützmann, H -twisted Courant algebroids, arXiv:1101.0993.
- [12] M. Gualtieri, *Generalized Complex Geometry*, PhD thesis, St John's College, University of Oxford, Nov. 2003.
- [13] M. Hansen and T. Strobl, First Class Constrained Systems and Twisting of Courant Algebroids by a Closed 4-form, *In Fundamental Interactions, A Memorial Volume for Wolfgang Kummer*, pages 115–144. World Scientific, 2010.
- [14] C. M. Hull, Generalised Geometry for M-Theory, *J. High Energy Phys.* 7 (2007), 079.
- [15] T. Lada and J. Stasheff, Introduction to sh Lie algebras for physicists, *Int. Jour. Theor. Phys.* 32 (7) (1993), 1087-1103.
- [16] D. Li-Bland, AV-Courant algebroids and generalized CR structures, arXiv:0811.4470.

- [17] Z.-J. Liu, A. Weinstein and P. Xu, Manin triples for Lie bialgebroids, *J. Diff. Geom.* 45 (1997), 547-574.
- [18] J.-L. Loday and T. Pirashvili, Universal enveloping algebras of Leibniz algebras and (co)homology, *Math. Ann.* 296 (1993), 139-158.
- [19] D. Roytenberg, *Courant algebroids, derived brackets and even symplectic supermanifolds*, PhD thesis, UC Berkeley, 1999, arXiv:math.DG/9910078.
- [20] D. Roytenberg, Courant algebroids and strongly homotopy Lie algebras, *Lett. Math. Phys.*, 46 (1) (1998), 81-93.
- [21] Y. Sheng, Z. Liu, Leibniz 2-algebras and twisted Courant algebroids, arXiv:1012.5515v3. to appear in *Comm. Algebra*.
- [22] Y. Sheng and C. Zhu, Semidirect products of representations up to homotopy, *Pacific J. Math.*, 249 (1) (2011), 211-236.
- [23] M. Stienon and P. Xu, Modular classes of Loday algebroids. *C. R. Math. Acad. Sci. Paris* 346 (2008), no. 3-4, 193-198.
- [24] K. Uchino, Derived brackets and sh Leibniz algebras, *J. Pure Appl. Algebra*, 215 (2011) 1102-1111.
- [25] I. Vaisman, Transitive Courant algebroids. *Int. J. Math. Math. Sci.*, 11 (2005) 1737-1758.
- [26] M. Zambon, L_∞ -algebras and higher analogues of Dirac structures and Courant algebroids, arXiv:1003.1004, to appear in *J. Symp. Geom.*